

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/674,749	CARNEY, MICHAEL G.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MENGYAO ZHE	2195	

All Participants:

(1) MENGYAO ZHE.

(2) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 June 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-39

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner proposed changes to the claims, of which the applicant agreed to.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Meng-Ai An/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195

Status of Application: _____

(3) John Bernacki.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)